

Additional Comments

Please use this space to add to any comments already provided or provide any further thoughts and observations.

Wisborough Green Parish Council Neighbourhood Plan Review Site Consultation

September 2019

Please ensure that you read the information boards before completing this questionnaire.

To help the Parish Council gauge community opinion, please look at the site information and answer the following questions. The results of this consultation will be assessed and validated by an independent planning consultant to help determine the community's wish for future development in the village.

Please tick to indicate your responses and comment where asked.
Please continue comments on the back pages if necessary.

Information Boards - Section 3: Sites being consulted on				
WG19-3	Tanglewood Nursery (Pre-used) for 6 dwellings			
In your view, is the Site Assessment accurate?			YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?				
Please note that if you support development on this site you understand that: ⇒ Although this is a pre-used site, in planning terms it is still considered Greenfield. ⇒ Potentially a pavement on the village green from the playground extending down Kirdford Road will be required (this would need to be funded by the developer but Parish Council support would be needed). This could change the character of the top section of the Green. ⇒ Being a small site there is no requirement to provide affordable/social homes, and so is likely to provide open market housing only.				
Do you support development with a pavement on the village green?			YES	NO
Do you support development without a pavement?			YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?				

WG19-4	Stable Field (Greenfield) for 10-12 dwellings	
In your view, is the Site Assessment accurate?	YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?		
<p>Please note that if you support development on this site you understand that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⇒ A pavement on the village green from the playground extending down Kirdford Road will be required (this would need to be funded by the developer but Parish Council support would be needed). This could change the character of the top section of the Green. ⇒ Development is in an identified local green gap and therefore contrary to current Neighbourhood Plan policy. ⇒ Depending on the number, would include 3-4 affordable homes. ⇒ Retention of partial green gap to be gifted to the Parish Council as a sports field. 		
Do you support development with a pavement on the village green?	YES	NO
Do you support development without a pavement?	YES	NO
If the western end of this site is developed, do you feel that the strategic gap has been sufficiently protected?	YES	NO
If the western end of this site is developed, do you feel that the impact upon the existing views would be acceptable?	YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?		

Additional Comments
<p>Please use this space to add to any comments already provided or provide any further thoughts and observations.</p>

This form is anonymous but please indicate where you live (please tick)	
Bedham/Brick Kiln Common/Fittleworth Road	
Billingshurst Road/Old Mill Lane/Glebe Way/Harsfold Lane/The Longcroft Wisborough Gardens	
Butts Meadow/Thornton Meadow/Wyatt Close/Wyatt House	
Carters Way/The Luth	
Durbans Road	
Kirdford Road/Skiff Lane	
Newpound Lane	
Newpound/Roundstreet Common	
Petworth Road/Balchins Close	
School Road/Around the Green	
I do not live in Wisborough Green Parish	

Additional Comments
Please use this space to add to any comments already provided or provide any further thoughts and observations.

WG19-5	Winterfold Garden (Greenfield) for 6 dwellings	
In your view, is the Site Assessment accurate?	YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?		
Please note that if you support development on this site you understand that:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⇒ Combined with the new Winterfold development (Songhurst Meadow), this becomes a large built up area on the edge of the Conservation Area. ⇒ Being a small site there is no requirement to provide affordable/social homes, and so is likely to provide open market housing only. ⇒ A green area will be retained on the existing orchard (southern end). 		
Do you support development of this site	YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?		

WG19-7	Paddock Farm (Greenfield) for up to 10 dwellings Access off A272 between Albion House and Coed Afal	
In your view, is the Site Assessment accurate?	YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?		
Please note that if you support development on this site you understand that:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⇒ Access to this site has yet to be fully demonstrated. ⇒ Being a small site there is no requirement to provide affordable/social homes, and so it is likely to provide open market housing only. ⇒ The access restricts the number of houses that can be built on this site. 		
Do you support the development of this site	YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?		

WG19-8 Glebe Field (Greenfield) for up to 25 dwellings		
In your view, is the Site Assessment accurate?	YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?		
Please note that if you support development on this site you understand that: ⇒ This site has the potential for up to 25 dwellings. ⇒ Development on the eastern side of the field only (away from the church). ⇒ Land nearest the church to be gifted to the village. ⇒ Access is through Glebe Way.		
Do you support development of the whole site (up to 25 dwellings)?	YES	NO
Do you support partial development of the site (up to 18 dwellings)?	YES	NO
Do you support partial development of the site (up to 10 dwellings)?	YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?		

A further housing allocation		
There is a possibility that more housing, over the 25 currently allocated to Wisborough Green in the Local Plan Review, could be given to Wisborough Green.	YES	NO
If this does happen, should the Parish Council challenge?		
Why is this your decision?		
If the allocation were to be increased above 25, would this change your opinion over which sites are currently appropriate?	YES	NO
If YES, please explain what changes you would require:		

This Consultation		
Has the information provided been presented in a clear and understandable way?	YES	NO
If NO, please explain:		
Do you feel that you have been presented with sufficient information to make an informed decision?	YES	NO
If NO, please explain why:		
Is there any information that you feel we have omitted?	YES	NO
If YES, please explain why:		

Consultation Display - Section 4: Sites not being consulted on				
Do you understand and agree with why these sites are not being consulted on?		YES	NO	If NO, please explain why not. Please use additional comments at the end if needs be.
WG19-1	Spring Meadow			
WG19-2	Ansells Yard			
WG19-6	Land South of A272			
WG19-9	Glebe View Garden			
WG19-10	Macdonalds Field			
WG19-13	Farnagates Field 3			
WG19-14	Newpound Field			
Any other comments:				

WG19-11	Farnagates 1 (Greenfield) potential for 10 dwellings			
In your view, is the Site Assessment accurate?			YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?				
Please note that if you support development on this site you understand that:				
⇒ This would change the open rural approach to Wisborough Green on the east side. ⇒ The only view from the road to the South Downs would be lost. ⇒ Radial (ribbon) development would be created. ⇒ It is unlikely that a safe pedestrian access to the village could be achieved. ⇒ Being a small site there is no requirement to provide affordable/social homes, and so it is likely to provide open market housing only.				
Do you support the development on this site?			YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?				
WG19-12	Farnagates 2 (Greenfield) potential for 13 dwellings			
In your view, is the Site Assessment accurate?			YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?				
Please note that if you support development on this site you understand that:				
⇒ This would change the open rural approach to Wisborough Green on the east side. ⇒ The only view from the road to the South Downs would be lost. ⇒ Radial (ribbon) development would be created. ⇒ It is unlikely that a safe pedestrian access to the village could be achieved.				
Do you support the development on this site?			YES	NO
If NO, please explain why?				

A few questions about site size now follow.

Please refer to the **Information Boards Section 2** for further information about:

- The pros and cons of large and small development sites.
- How the housing numbers affect affordable housing provision.

The sites being considered for development

Do you think that all 25 new dwellings should be accommodated on one site?	YES	NO
--	-----	----

If NO, please explain why?

There are only two sites that are large enough to accommodate all 25 dwellings. Please rate in order of preference, ie, 1 being your first choice.

Even if you said NO above, please still rate your preference in case this is the majority choice.

WG19-8	Glebe Field	
WG19-11/12	Farnagates Field 1 and 2 would need to be combined	

IF YOU REQUIRE ANY FURTHER EXPLANATION OR PERHAPS REFRESHMENTS TO KEEP YOU GOING THEN PLEASE DO ASK.

WE ARE HERE TO HELP.



More than one site?

Do you think that the 25 new dwellings should be accommodated on a number of different sites?

YES NO

(Please Note: There must be a minimum of 6 dwellings on a site otherwise they will not count towards the housing number.)

If NO, please explain why?

Please rate in order of preference, **1 being your preferred option.** Even if you said NO above, please still rate your preference in case this is the majority choice.

(Please rate your Top 5)

WG19-3	Tanglewood Nursery With pavement (6 dwellings)	
	Tanglewood Without pavement (6 dwellings)	
WG19-4	Stable Field With pavement (10-12 dwellings)	
	Stable Field Without pavement (10-12 dwellings)	
WG19-5	Winterfold Garden (6 dwellings)	
WG19-7	Paddock Farm Field (up to 10 dwellings)	
WG19-8	Glebe Field (up to 18 dwellings)	
	Glebe Field (up to 10 dwellings)	
WG19-11	Farnagates Field 1 (up to 10 dwellings)	
WG19-12	Farnagates Field 2 (up to 13 dwellings)	